

**REMARKS**

In the present amendment claims 1, 2, 14, and 25-54 are cancelled, without prejudice to their being the subject of one or more continuations or divisional applications.

Claims 3 and 16 have been placed in independent form. Claim 16 was indicated in the office action as being patentable over the prior art. Claim 3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Corbitt. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection. Furthermore, applicants submit that claim 3 is not *prima facie* anticipated by Corbitt.

Claim 3 contains the limitation that the juicing element projects above the dish and the pivot is situated beneath the dish. In all of the embodiments of Corbitt, the pivot is situated above the dish, no matter how the term "dish" is defined with respect to Corbitt.

Applicants did not received a PTO-1449 form, filed in an Information Disclosure Statement on December 12, 2003, initialed by the Examiner in return. Applicants are resubmitting the form herewith and respectfully requesting that the items listed thereon be initialed by the Examiner to ensure that they appear on the face of the patent issuing on the present application. Applicants assume that the art has already been considered by the Examiner in accordance with MPEP §1893.03.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the rejection and allowance of the claims. Notice to that effect is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,  
Ilan GREENBERG et al.



Paul FENSTER,  
Reg. No. 33,877

September 15, 2003  
William H. Dippert, Esq.  
Reed Smith LLP  
599 Lexington Avenue, 29<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022-7650

Tel: (212) 521-5400